



Global Advanced Research Journal of Management and Business Studies (ISSN: 2315-5086) Vol. 3(12) pp. 537-x541, December, 2014
Available online <http://garj.org/garjmbbs/index.htm>
Copyright © 2014 Global Advanced Research Journals

Full Length Research Paper

Biradrism as stronger determinant of voting behavior; Exploring the voting behavior of people towards different political parties during election 2013 in district Layyah, Pakistan

Faisal Azeez¹, Ch. Aqeel Ashraf², Muhammad Sher Juni³, Fariha Sadiq⁴, Javeria Karim⁵, Sayeda Mehwish Dildar⁶

¹Lecturer Department of Communication Studies University of Sargodha Pakistan

Email Address: Sher_juni@yahoo.com

²Teaching Assistant; Department of Communication Studies University of Sargodha Pakistan

³Department of Communication Studies University of Sargodha Pakistan

⁴Department of Management Sciences University of Lahore Pakistan

⁵Department of Communication Studies University of Sargodha Pakistan

⁶Department of Education University of Sargodha, Pakistan

Accepted 17 December 2014

Voting is the main form of political participation in democratic societies and the study of voting behavior is a highly specialized sub-field in political and social science. The study was concerned with the reasons why people vote the way they do. The main objectives of the present study were to find out the factors which affect the voting behavior & to explore the factors that constitute political affiliation in District Layyah. A sample of 160 household respondents was selected systematically from District Layyah through multistage sampling technique. Specifically explored through this study the relationship of voting behavior with education, internal changes in the party, voter's satisfaction and barbarism are those factors that had strong effect on voting decisions. More than fifty percent (55%) of the respondents vote for the candidate not for the party and (53.1%) of the voters change their behavior due to the internal changes in the party. The value of Chi-Square and Gamma showed a significant and strong association between voter satisfaction with particular political party, favor of biradrism and internal change in the party are strongly associated with voter's behavior.

Keywords: voting behavior, religion, caste, ethnicity and biradri

INTRODUCTION

Man is a social being, and as such he always contributes in social life. But this contribution is not constant: the intensity and types of participation are relevant variables in political analysis. Voting behavior is very important

topic because through this we can know the will of people and also the way they want to have it. Voting is very important tool in democratic societies. Democracy provides a chance to the people to become a dynamic

citizens rather than inactive subjects (Jost, 2006). A person who is the citizen of Pakistan, is not less than 18 years of age on the first day of the January of the year in which the rolls are prepared or revised, is not declared by a competent court to be of unsound mind and is or is deemed to be the resident of an electoral area, can get himself enrolled as a voter in the electoral area. The citizens registered on the electoral roll are only eligible to cast their vote. (GOP, 2011). Voting defined by Universal Declaration of Human Right as "Voting is the fundamental right of almost all citizens over the age of eighteen. It ensures that will of the people is preserved" (HRCP, 2008). Voting is the expression of a person's preference for a candidate, or a group of candidates, as well as the person's preference of one political system rather than another (Blais, et al., 2004). A person who is the citizen of Pakistan, is not less than 18 years of age on the first day of the January of the year in which the rolls are prepared or revised, is not declared by a competent court to be of unsound mind and is or is deemed to be the resident of an electoral area, can get himself enrolled as a voter in the electoral area. The citizens registered on the electoral roll are only eligible to cast their vote. (GOP, 2011)

The people of Pakistan do not have much experience in elections. The first 23 years of Pakistan were without elections while from 1970 to 2010 nation has experienced 4 regimes of dictatorship. Frequent military interventions hindered the development of a country. The election system has been changing since independence. Hence the political system of Pakistan is still in its experimental stage. Political behavior is a central part of politics of any political system. Politics is an activity related to political system. It is not only a part of political life but social life too (Ahmed, 2004). Studies allied with voting behavior report that voters can be classified into seven most important types by their inspiration to vote: Party Loyal, Morality Seeking Voters, supporter Seeking Voters, Legislation Minded Voters, advance Seeking Voters, Biradari Bound, and disbeliever Voters (Gallup, 2008). Voting decisions are the most important decisions that public make, They decide who will rule the country and how the resources and capital are allocates. Voters do not know all of the issues. They only relay on informational cues (Cohen, 2003). Demographic factors do effect the voting decisions like gender, race are more important than socioeconomic factors like education (Leighley and Nagler, 1992). In the last few years ethnic and racial influence on voting decisions was studied more than other issues. Race and ethnicity had great influence on voting behavior (Branton, 2004). Social class plays a significant importance in voting behavior. However, other factors are showing an ever increasing importance today, such as, social class, party policies, and ethnicity and region issues (Lednum, 2006). Voting for a candidate of one's own race might be a product of racism, or it may be the result of reliance on a simple, readily available cue. In

other words, voters may support a candidate of their own race or ethnicity based on the assumption that a candidate who shares their racial or ethnic background also shares their basic political views (Michelson, 2005).

METHODOLOGY

This study was designed to assess the voting behavior of people towards different political parties. This research uses a statistically valid random sample of 160 respondents to study the voting behavior of the respondents in Layyah. The study was carried out in randomly selected town. The universe of the present study was Layyah district. Out of eight towns of Layyah district one town namely Eid Gah town was selected randomly. At the 2nd stage two union councils were selected randomly and at 3rd stage four localities from each Union Councils were selected randomly. At the 4th stage 20 respondent from each locality were selected by systematically sampling technique. A well structured questionnaire consisting of open and closed ended questions was prepared. Descriptive analysis such as percentage distribution, frequency distribution and bi-variate analysis was made by using SPSS. Significant relationship was tested through chi-square and gamma test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Voting is the expression of a person's preference for a candidate, or a group of candidates, as well as the person's preference of one political system rather than another. The results about literacy level of respondent exhibited by this study were very typical of Pakistani society. Study showed that nearly half (45.5%) respondents were without education while (16.9%) were with 10 years of schooling while only (10.6%) achieved graduation or above level. In Pakistan overall literacy rate is (57%) according to economic survey (Anonymous, 2010). In view of literacy level (Birner et al, 2006) depicted that in his pattern survey more than half of the candidates were illiterate. Because of illiteracy the people of Pakistan do not have much awareness about the politics. They are inclined by the campaigns and party slogans and vote accordingly. There are also various economic factors behind their decisions. There are many factors that influence the voter's decision like biradriism was found sound effect on the voting behavior. More than fifty percent (55%) respondents vote to the candidate because of the candidate and nominee belong to their own biradri or caste. Biradriism is the most powerful element in Pakistan and it is the main basis of the voting behavior in Pakistani society. These results are analogous with (Ahmad, 2004) who found that major part of voters has no political fidelity. People caste their votes

Table 1. Association between internal change of the party and behavior of the voter

Internal change in the party setup affect	In next election will you vote for this particular party			Total
	Yes	No	Uncertain	Above
Yes	62	21	4	87
	71.3%	24.1%	4.6%	100.0%
No	25	31	7	63
	39.7%	49.2%	11.1%	100.0%
Uncertain	2	8	0	10
	20.0%	80.0%	.0%	100.0%
	89	60	11	160
	55.6%	37.5%	6.9%	100.0%

Table 2. Association between biradriism and voter behavior

Do you prefer to vote that candidate who belongs to your caste?	In next election will you vote for this particular party			Total
	Yes	No	Uncertain	Above
Yes	70	37	5	112
	62.5%	33.0%	4.5%	100.0%
No	19	21	4	44
	43.2%	47.7%	9.1%	100.0%
Uncertain	0	2	2	4
	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	89	60	11	160
	55.6%	37.5%	6.9%	100.0%

Chi-square = 18.47

d.f. = 4

P-value = .001

Gamma = .440

to survive as a group or biradri. In case of candidates belonging to the same biradari, voters use their preferences. There is no party identification that is the psychological connection of a voter to a particular biradri. (Wilder, 1999) was also pointed out this phenomenon. He depicts that in Pakistan, particularly in Punjab the leading aspect of the social system is biradarism. It has impact on the political system at national as well as at local levels. Biradari is a stronger determinant of voting behavior. In Pakistani culture family is considered the strong element and most of the people live in joint family system that's why family members have strong influence about any decision or matter. A mainstream of the (34.4%) respondents vote to the parties because their parents and family members pursued them to do that. They have not their own choice. They just pursue their parent's decision. These figures indicate that the people of the Pakistan are not sovereign yet to take their own decisions. They depend on their parents and family members. The similar observations were (Birner et al, 2006) they stated that an ample share of the voters also considered the opinions of others, including the landlord, the community and husband or father as important. The results of present study was also supported by (Ahmad, 2004) who said that people vote for the same party because their biradari support them. It means people cast their votes to biradri supported candidate. The ratio

of biradri supported candidate in Faisalabad district is (74%). The Pakistani people have keen interest about political matters and party manifesto. Data exhibited that (45.6%) respondents know about the party agenda. These findings line up with (Lednum, 2006) who reported that the agenda of the party had dominated explanations for voting behavior in U.K for example in 1992 (56%) of the social class AB voted for the conservatives and only 20% voted for labor. About (13.1%) respondents acquire information about political matters by newspaper. In developed countries media has great exposure and have sizeable impact in shaping the public's political knowledge, attitudes and behavior. But in developing countries like Pakistan people rely on their parents and peer group experiences. Result demonstrated that (62%) of the respondents cast the vote in the previous (2008) general election. The male respondents were found dynamic role in casting the vote. In the total population about (65%) of male respondents had cast their vote and (35%) of the female respondents cast their vote in the present research. It was also reported by (Anonymous, 2010) that the voting turn out in the previous general election 2008 was (44.5%) and in the 2002 general election the voting turnout was (41.8%). It shows that Pakistani people have no much interest in the casting the vote. If we compare with other developed countries such as Sweden, Australia, Switzerland, Denmark and Italy

etc. have more than (80%) voting turn out. (Adma, 2007) It also depicted that Pakistan had the problem a lack of political participation in the politics. (Adma and Schoersh, 2007) marked that between 1945 and 1997 about (41.8%) of the populations, who are allowed to vote, are voting. This compares with about (90.6%) in India, 56% in Bangladesh and 63.7% in Nepal.

Data exhibited that Female voting turnout is low, if we compare with the male respondents. (Birner et al, 2006) are also in consonance to the findings of the present study. They also identified that women's vote turnout was considerably lower than men's vote turnout in all Provinces. The difference was particularly pronounced in khyber pakhtunkhwa, followed by Sindh. At the national level, (39.5%) of the women voted, as compared to (56.8%) of the men. The researchers also found that the main causes of the non-casting vote are inconvenient atmosphere did not have I.D card, had no name in voting lists, not take the interest in the selection of the representative and fear of violence were the major causes of non-casting vote in the election. The findings of the study carried out by (Birner et al, 2006) they observed that more than a few of the respondents who did not vote because they did not have an I.D card, were not registered as voters, or because their name was not in the electoral list. Lack of possessing an I.D card was also a major reason non-casting the vote.

Data revealed that (53.1%) of the voter's alleged that due to the Internal change in the party they vote to the party. Best example of internal changes in the party effect the voter's decision was shown in the previous elections where Pakistan People's Party (PPP) got lead in the elections just due to the assassination of their leader Banzeeer Buhtoo. The voting behavior of the people abruptly changes and the entire sympathy vote goes to PPP. In the history of Pakistan the voting prototype of Pakistani people remain the same if a family gave vote to a particular party then they vote again and again to that party. And this affiliation goes generation to generation. This is not done due to the contentment they get by the party work but in Pakistan there are many other factors that effect the voting decisions and most important is biradriism in the present study this phenomenon is studied. Data demonstrated that (61.3%) respondents decide before the election that to which party they will support in the next election. These statistics presented by (Anonymous₁, 2011) who explored that the voting preference of the respondents in the previous election towards different political parties such as Pakistan People's Party (PPP) 47.5%, Pakistan Muslim League N (PML N) 30.0%, Pakistan Muslim League Q (PML Q) 11.3% and 11.2% others political parties. These findings strongly grasped up the 2008 general election consequences in Faisalabad. There are total 11 seats of members in national assembly (MNA) and 22 members in Punjab assembly (MPA) in Faisalabad district. In 2008 general election members of national assembly (MNA) in

Pakistan the winning candidate of Layyah district belonged to different political parties such as (PPP) having 6/11, (PMLN) 3/11 and (PMLQ) 2/11. (Anonymous₂, 2011) was the eye viewed of Members of Punjab Assembly (MPA) (PPP) having 7/22 Pakistan Muslim League N (PMLN) 10/22 and Pakistan Muslim League Q (PMLQ) 5/22 respectively. This data show that two major parties PPP and PMLN are dominating in the Layyah politics. These findings are also lined up with (PILDAT, 2008) also identified that all elections since 1970 show that there are four clusters of voters: The PPP cluster, the Pakistan Muslim Leagues cluster, the Religious Parties and local Parties cluster. Past electoral behavior demonstrates that roughly 60 to 75% of votes are alleged by the first two clusters (PPP and PML) while the lingering gets distributed among the other two clusters as well as independents and miscellaneous.

Chi-square value = 23.23 d.f. = 4 P-value = .000 Gamma value = .53

Table 2 shows that Chi-square value (23.23) shows a highly significant (P-value = .000) association between internal change in the party setup affect and casting of their vote in next election to their particular party. The gamma value shows a positive relationship between the variables. Its mean internal changes effected their decision in election.

Table 3 shows that Chi-square value (18.47) shows a highly significant (P-value = .001) association between biradriism with their voting decision and in next election will they vote for this particular party. The gamma value shows a positive relationship between the variables. It's mean if the candidates are from their biradri than they cast their vote next time to their particular party. So the hypothesis "If the candidates are from their biradri then they also cast their vote in the next election to that particular party" is accepted.

CONCLUSION

The people of Pakistan do not have a lot of experience in elections. . The first 23 years of Pakistan were with out election while from 1970 to 2010 nation has experienced 4 regime of dictatorship. The election system has been changing since independence. Hence the political system of Pakistan is still in its experimental stage. Political behavior is a central part of politics of any political system. Politics is an activity related to political system. It is not only a part of political life but social life too. Caste system seems to be stronger than political loyalty now-a-days as far as motivations for voting behavior are concerned. Party affiliation determines voting behavior. The strong and national level political parties are expected to wipe out the influence of biradarism. The better level of education and political knowledge will reduce the power of discouraging elements of biradarism. Biradarism as a racial or group prejudice should be

discouraged. Because of that our public does not have awareness' about politics we are still living in a non-politicalised society.

REFERENCES

- Adma S, and Schoresch D (2007). The Political crisis of Pakistan in 2007. European University center for peace studies (EPU) Standtschlaining, Austria. Issue 08/07. pp. 10.
- Ahmed M (2004). Faisalabad Division ke Siasat per Biradarism kay Asraat, Un-published Ph. D Thesis, Department of Political Science and International Relations, Multan, B. Z. University. pp: 46.
- Anonymous (2010). Voter turnout data for Pakistan. Available at this web.
http://www.idea.int/vt/country_view.cfm?CountryCode=PK. Assessed by 28/03/2011.
- Anonymous₁. (2011). Province Assembly of the Punjab. Member's directory. Available on this web.
<http://www.pap.gov.pk/index.php/members/bydistrict/en/19/51>. Assessed by 22/03/2011
- Anonymous₂. (2011). Complete list of Member's National Assembly of Pakistan. National Assembly of Paksitan.
- Birner R, Qureshi SK, Akramov K, Khan BH (2006). Voice and Votes—Does Political Decentralization Work the Poor and for Women? Empirical Evidence from 2005 Local Government Elections in Pakistan. The Pakistan Development Review. 45 : 4 Part II pp. 1345–60.
- Branton RP (2004). Voting in Initiative Elections: Does the context of racial and ethnic diversity matter? State Politics and Policy Quarterly. 2004, 4(3): 294-317
- Blais A, Elizabeth G, and Neil N (2004). Where Does Turnout Decline Come From? European Journal of Poitical Research. 43:221-23.
- Branton RP (2004). Voting in Initiative Elections: Does the context of racial and ethnic diversity matter? State Politics and Policy Quarterly. 2004, 4(3): 294-317
- Cohen K (2003). Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85: pp: 808-22
- Gallup P (2008). Exit poll – Election Day Survey. Election. 2008. Gallup Pakistan in collaboration with PILDAT exclusively for the Daily Business Recorder and Aaj TV.
- GOP (2011). Government of Pakistan. Election Commission of the Pakistan. Available at this web.
<http://www.ecp.gov.pk/ElectionLaws/EligibilityofVoter.aspx> Assessed by 02/04/2011
- HRCP (2008). Human right comession of Pakistan. State of human rights: An annual report Lahore, Pakistan.
- Jost JT (2006). The end of the end of ideology. American Psychologist. 61: (7). 651-70.
- Leighley E, and Nagler J (1992). Individual and Systemic Influences on Turnout: Who Votes? Journal of Politics. 54 :(3). 718-40.
- Lednum G (2006). Assess the Importance of Social Class to Voting Behaviour in the U.K. 2006. Available at this sight.
<http://lednum.wordpress.com/2006/12/28/assess-the-importance-ofsocial-class-to-voting-behaviour-in-the-uk/>
- Michelson MR (2005). Does Ethnicity Trump Party? Competing Vote Cues and Latino Voting Behavior. J. Political Marketing. 2005, 4 (4). Available online at <http://www.haworthpress.com/web/JPOLM>.
- PILDAT (2008). Pakistan Institute of legislative and transparency (PILDAT). A Dispassionate Analysis of How Elections are stolen & will of the People is Defeated. Islamabad. Pakistan. ISBN 978-969-558-067-7.
- Wilder AR (1999). The Pakistani Voter: Electoral Politics and Voting Behavior in the Punjab, Karachi: Oxford University Press. Page. 179.