



Global Advanced Research Journal of Agricultural Science (ISSN: 2315-5094) Vol. 1(9) pp. 257-260, November, 2012
Available online <http://garj.org/garjas/index.htm>
Copyright © 2012 Global Advanced Research Journals

Full Length Research Paper

The Role of Participatory Varietal Selection for seed production in Case, Dabat District, North Western Ethiopia

Tafere Mulualem

Pawe Agricultural Research Center, Pawe, Ethiopia, Tel: +251-585500271; Fax: +251-585500270; P.O.Box 25, Pawe, Ethiopia.

E-mail: tafere_mulualem@yahoo.com

Accepted 23 November, 2012

Participatory variety selection was initiated to evaluate the performance of improved faba bean varieties and used in the seed production system. The study was conducted in wokin zuria village of Dabat district on four volunteer farmers' field during the 2010 cropping season using ten faba bean genotypes. The analytical tools employed include descriptive statistics and average score value. Selection was carried out at four different growth stages by organizing a field day at each stage i.e. at vegetative, flowering, physiological maturity, and harvesting. The evaluations mean score for each genotype ranged from 5.6 to 9.2. DOSHA (13.2) scored the highest and the lowest was scored by DEGAGA (8.2). Finally, the three best varieties namely DOSHA, WOLKI and WAYU were selected by farmers' evaluation which showed better performance and found to be promising from the analysis of collected data and more likely to enter in the seed production system by Seed Producing Cooperatives (SPC's). The findings showed that farmers have an indigenous knowledge to select varieties that meet their demand.

Keywords: Ethiopia, participatory, seed production, selection, varieties

INTRODUCTION

It is obvious that small-scale farmers are the backbone of agricultural production in Africa. To put some numbers to this, from Nagayets (2005) and FAO data we can derive an estimate of the order of 36 million small scale farmers in Africa with access to 2 hectares or less out of a total population approaching 1 billion. Spencer (2002) estimated that 90% of all agricultural production in Africa is derived from the output of small-scale farmers. The situation is probably not so different in 2010, and the small-scale farmers of Africa continue to represent a huge resource of labor and land. For centuries, farmers in Ethiopia have been using their own seed saved from the previous crop, or informally from

their neighbors in the community. About 96% of the estimated annual seed requirement of 420,000 tones comes from farmers' own sources. The main parastatal seed organization, Ethiopian Seed Enterprise (ESE), produces and distributes only 15,000 tones of seed each year, while the participation of the private sector in seed supply is yet at a very low level (Dabi *et al.* 1998). Faba bean is one of the important field crops in the highlands of Ethiopia. Several improved faba bean varieties has been released by agricultural research centers in the country but farmers are still depend on few crop varieties. Participatory Varietal Selection (PVS) has been proposed as a solution to the problem of fitting the crop to a multitude of both

Table 1. Faba bean genotypes used

No.	Variety name	No.	Variety name
1	DEGAGA	6	WOLKI
2	MOTI	7	HOLETTA - 2
3	GEBELCHO	8	WAYU
4	CS 20 DK	9	SELALE
5	DOSHA	10	EH99051-3

Table 2. Farmer selection criteria and mean of the given value

Variety	Farmer's criteria					Total	Mean	Rank
	PES	OAP	STS	NOB	SS			
HOLETTA-2	9	8	8	10	13	48	9.6	6
DOSHA	14	12	12	15	15	68	13.6	1
EH99051-3	11	10	8	10	8	47	9.4	7
CS20DK	8	8	7	7	13	43	8.6	8
WOLKI	13	13	15	13	12	66	13.2	2
SELALE	11	12	15	12	7	57	11.4	4
GEBELCHO	8	7	10	8	10	43	8.6	8
DEGAGA	8	8	8	10	7	41	8.2	9
WAYU	12	12	15	12	12	63	12.6	3
MOTI	13	8	8	10	15	54	10.8	5

PES=Plant Establishment, OAP=Over all Performance, STS=Stem Strength, NoB=Number of Branches, SS=Seed Size; Rating of the performance of variety for a criteria: 5= very good, 4= good, 3= average, 2= poor and 1 = very poor

between a set of varieties with the identified characteristics that distinguish them. Like other ranking tools it is often used in participatory varieties selection and participatory plant breeding. The ranking procedure was explained to participants then each criterion was ranked from 1 to 5 (5 = very good, 4 = good, 3 = average, 2 = poor and 1 = very poor) for each variety, ranking was done on consensus where differences are solved by discussion (De Boef and Thijssen, 2006). The simple ranking method has two main steps i.e. first, identifying preferably two (or more) quite distinct varieties and second, comparing the varieties by asking the group/respondent which variety they/he/she prefer(s), and the reason for the preference.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection was carried out at four different growth stages by organizing a field day at each stage i.e. at vegetative, flowering, physiological maturity, and harvesting. Farmers' selection criteria were plant establishment (PES), stem strength (STS), number of branches (NOB), overall performance (OAP), and seed size (SS). The evaluations

mean score for each genotype ranged from 9.2 to 13.6 (Table 2). *DOSHA* (13.6) scored the highest and the lowest was scored by *DEGAGA* (8.2). *WOLKI* (13.2) and *WAYU* (12.6) ranked second and third best varieties for farmers, respectively. In the selection process both women and men were participated. Every farmer's group comprises both women and men and discussed together during selection. Here the same varieties namely, *DOSHA*, *WOLKI* and *WAYU* were selected 1 to 3, respectively.

Different varieties were selected at different stages of the plant by farmers due to their condition in the field and performance at selection time. Finally, the three best overall ranking varieties namely *DOSHA*, *WOLKI* and *WAYU* were selected by farmers' evaluation which showed better performance and found to be promising from the analysis of collected data.

From the results one can consider that selection of well-adapted and farmer-preferred varieties is the first step in the production of faba bean a range of superior varieties (from farmers' perspective characteristics like seed yield, seed size and others) to make them regularly available. Apart from adaptation, the variety should have high yield

potential, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses and have good marketability and consumer preferences.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Farmers used different parameters and methods to evaluate the tested genotypes. Unless the variety meets the requirements of farmers and consumers, it is less likely to be widely adopted and, therefore, the demand for seed can be addressed. In this study farmers' selection were confirmed that DOSHA, WOLKI, WAYU, and SELALE were found good for yield and other agronomic traits among the ten tested varieties based on farmer's selection criteria.

Direct selection from the available genotypes and crossing program can assist the crop improvement program and ensures farmers access to better varieties. Once identified, the seed of farmer-preferred cultivars needs to be rapidly multiplied and cost-effectively supplied to farmers. SELALE, WAYU, DOSHA, and WOLKI gave highest grain yield and outperforming a local check (CS20DK) and found to be well adapted to Dabat conditions.

Therefore, farmers' exposure to evaluate and select new varieties is an advantage to exploit their potential knowledge of identifying adapted varieties that best meets their interest which further helps to include such selection in their varietal portfolio for seed production. The interaction of researchers and farmers will also help to design research objectives to overcome rejection of varieties developed by researchers alone, enhances the acceptance of varieties and reduces costs associated with variety development.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My deep gratitude and acknowledges goes to Local Seed Business (LSB) project later changed into ISSD for providing me research fund and those participant farmers.

REFERENCES

- Ceccarelli S, Grando S (2002). Plant breeding with farmers requires testing the assumptions of conventional plant breeding: Lessons from the ICARDA barley program. In: Cleveland DA, Soleri D (eds) *Farmers, scientists and plant breeding: integrating knowledge and practice*. CABI Publishing International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, pp, 297-332
- Ceccarelli S, Grando S, Booth R (1996). International breeding programmes and resource-poor farmers: crop improvement in difficult environments. In:
- Dabi G, Shaka G, Bishaw Z (1998). Focus on seed programs: The Ethiopian seed industry. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- De Boef WS, Thijssen MH (2006). Participatory tools working with crops, varieties and seeds. A guide for professionals applying participatory approaches in agro biodiversity management, plant breeding and seed sector development. Wageningen, Wageningen International, 29 pp.
- Eyzaguirre P, Iwanaga M (eds) (1995). Participatory plant breeding. Proceeding of a workshop on participatory plant breeding, 26-29 July 1995, Wageningen, The Netherlands. IPGRI, Italy, pp 99-116.
- Nagayets O (2005). Small Farms: current status and key trends. In: The future of small farms: proceedings of a research workshop, Wye, UK June 26-29 IFPRI www.ifpri.org/publication/futuresmall-farms
- Spencer D (2002). The future of agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia; whither the small farm? In: Sustainable Food Security for All by 2020. Proceedings of an International Conference, Sept 4-6 2001 Bonn Germany. IFPRI
- Walter SDB, Marja HT (2007). Participatory Tools Working with Crops, Varieties and Seeds. Wageningen University and Research Centre